

	<p>Based on the above, students should make recommendations providing implementation guidance where necessary.</p> <p>Students may consult with their faculty supervisor to determine specific approaches that may be most relevant.</p>	
<p>Appendices</p>	<p>Confidentiality Agreement (compulsory if confidentiality applies to the thesis and is indicated on the cover sheet): copies or scans of the confidentiality statements pertaining to this thesis should be attached. At a minimum, the faculty supervisor must have access to the thesis. See annex 2 for more details.</p> <p>Supplementary material: This section is not mandatory. Any materials specifically related to the student experience (analysis reports, marketing materials, operational standards, printouts of web pages, etc. that the student helped produce, etc.) should be attached. Photographs may also be included. All material submitted for the internship assignment.</p> <p>Appendices don't count towards the page count</p>	

Layout and specifications

- i. Written in English
- ii. A4 paper format
- iii. 1" margins top, bottom, left, and right
- iv. Calibri, Helvetica, or Times New Roman font size 11-12
- v. Line spacing of 1.5. Do NOT add extra spaces between paragraphs.
- vi. Add page numbers to the footer of the document

The work includes skilful use of resource materials, anecdotes or examples, and clear writing, and contains no grammatical or typographical errors.

B Good. The thesis demonstrates a complete and accurate understanding of the relationship between human rights and data science, and how it is applied in the organization's industry. The work presents a reasonable degree of insight and broad levels of analysis. The work reflects competence, but remains at a general or predictable level of understanding. Use of resource materials and examples is appropriate, and writing is clear.

C Adequate/Fair. The thesis demonstrates some understanding but remains superficial, incomplete, or expresses some significant errors or weaknesses. Human rights law or data science methods may be used inadequately or inappropriately, and arguments lack concrete, specific examples. Writing may appear vague, hard to follow, or loaded with typographical or other technical errors.

F Unsatisfactory. The thesis demonstrates a serious lack or error in understanding, and fails to express the most rudimentary aspects of human rights and data science. Sources may be used entirely inappropriately or not at all, and writing is seriously deficient. Or work not submitted by the deadline or indicates plagiarism.